

Sacramento Works, Inc. Local Workforce Development Board Strategic Plan

Sacramento Works, Inc., the local Workforce Development Board for Sacramento County, is a 25-member board charged with providing policy, planning and oversight for local workforce development initiatives.

Vision:

Building a dynamic workforce for the Sacramento Region.

Mission:

Sacramento Works partners with the workforce community to serve regional employment needs.

Goals:

Goal 1 (Planning/Oversight Committee):

Prepare customers for viable employment opportunities and career pathways in the region by improving the one stop career center system.

Goal 2 (Employer Outreach Committee):

Support regional employers' efforts to hire, train, and transition employees by enhancing and communicating the availability and value of Sacramento Works' employer and business services.

Goal 3 (Youth Committee):

Prepare youth to thrive and succeed in the regional workforce by providing relevant work readiness and employment programs and engaging regional employers and academia.

ITEM II-A – CONSENT

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE JULY 25, 2018 MEETING

BACKGROUND:

Attached are the minutes of the July 25, 2018 meeting for review.

RECOMMENDATION:

That your Board review, modify if necessary, and approve the attached minutes.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE SACRAMENTO WORKS, INC. BOARD

Minutes/Synopsis

(The minutes reflect the actual progression of the meeting.)

SETA Board Room
925 Del Paso Blvd., Suite 100
Sacramento, California

Wednesday, July 25, 2018
8:00 a.m.

I. Call to Order/Roll Call

Mr. Gary King called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m. The roll was called and a quorum was established.

Members Present: Larry Booth, Lisa Clawson, Lynn Conner, David De Mers, Ann Edwards, Diane Ferrari, Tom Kandris, Troy Givans, Gary King, Kathy Kossick, Matt Legé, Frank Louie, Dennis Morin, Dr. Jamey Nye, Jay Onasch, Johnny Perez, Rick Wylie

Members Absent: David Gordon, Kim Gusman, Fabrizio Sasso, Anette Smith-Dohring, Peter Tateishi

- ❖ Slingshot Presentation: Evan Schmidt, Valley Vision, and Heather Luke reported that eight proposals were funded in response to a request for proposals for mentorship, makerspace, and incubator services including the creation of an eResource and database tool. All of the programs will continue to grow and expand – even without Slingshot funding.

II. Consent Item

A. Approval of Minutes of the May 23, 2018 Meeting

The minutes were reviewed; no questions or corrections.

Moved/Clawson, second/Perez, to approve the May 23 minutes.

Aye: 15: (Booth, Clawson, Conner, De Mers, Ferrari, Givans, King, Kossick, Legé, Louie, Morin, Nye, Onasch, Perez, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 2 (Edwards and Kandris)

Absent: 5 (Gordon, Gusman, Sasso, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi)

III. Discussion/Action Items

A. Approval of FY 2018-2019 Employer Outreach Budget

Mr. Kim reviewed this item that requests the approval of the Employer Outreach Committee budget. There was a carryover fund of \$52,000 with a balance of

\$35,000 for other initiatives. This is a new allocation for the coming fiscal year. Mr. De Mers requested information on what is employer outreach, outside advertising programs or job fairs. Last year, funds were spent on the Build Your Own Workforce initiative. Mr. Wylie stated that at the next EOC meeting EMRL will be invited to leverage outreach with the planned Business Summit. Some of the funds can be utilized to bring people to the event.

Moved/Edwards, second/Kandris, to approve the allocation of \$100,000 of Board Initiative funds to the Employer Outreach Budget for FY 2018-2019.

Aye: 17: (Booth, Clawson, Conner, De Mers, Edwards, Ferrari, Givans, Kandris, King, Kossick, Legé, Louie, Morin, Nye, Onasch, Perez, Wylie)

Nay: 0

Abstentions: 0

Absent: 5 (Gordon, Gusman, Sasso, Smith-Dohring, Tateishi)

B. Discussion on the Role of the Sacramento Works Board

Mr. King stated that this is an opportunity to continue the discussion from earlier in the year. There are two more meetings scheduled in the year and he wants to come to a consensus on two issues before the end of the year.

Highlights issues raised before:

- Critical industries
- Regional approach and reaching out to other organizations
- How do we use data information; are we leveraging the information to understand trends
- Looking at providing clarity of board member expectations.
- Revisit board committees and their roles
- Look at opportunities/fundraising function for items that cannot be paid for with federal funds.
- Build Your Own Workforce tool.
- Nature of level of unemployment and employment development of people with criminal records and other barriers.
- Look at changes at the federal level.
- Apprenticeships- look at the value of apprenticeships.

Mr. Kandris stated that the Sacramento region is one of three areas getting a 5G network. This may be one of the times in recent history that we can get ahead of jobs and what they will be. We need to light up Oak Park and revitalize the water district. The items suggested are excellent but consider others around 5G network.

Mr. Booth liked the idea of identifying barriers to employment (checked employment record) and what we can do to eliminate the barriers. What employment opportunities are there and what will be there in the future? It's

important to take the currently underemployed population and get them fully employed.

Mr. Kandris stated that his company is a second-chance employer. There are some regulatory issues that prevent some education and training of the convicted. It is important to understand the issues and figure out ways around the regulations. How do we get them trained? Ms. Kossick stated that the state is setting aside \$34 million to make connections with the incarcerated population to be trained before they get out of prison.

Dr. Nye reported that Los Rios Community College District recently hired a Director of Inmate Education. He anticipates a lot of expansion because the state is hosting this expansion of training.

Mr. De Mers stated that he read an article that within 36 months, the economy is expected to slow down. We have to figure out how to tweak our workforce development to lean into the jobs that are less impacted by economic downturn; we have to have a workforce that is dexterous in an economic downturn.

Mr. Onasch is also interested in what occurs with individuals with disabilities, especially those with severe disabilities. There is an underserved population of those with severe disabilities.

Mr. Cunningham stated that Mr. King, as Chair, has the option of setting up an Ad Hoc Committee. Mr. King stated that the group would work with staff to frame areas for discussion and organize how the conversations are done.

The Ad Hoc Committee was charged to come back with a report to the board in September. Mr. Kandris said that if staff does not have the time or resources, perhaps Ms. Schmidt could be hired to do the report. Ms. Kossick responded that in 60 days, we will not get as much done as we like based on the scope. Mr. King requested participation from the entire board so everyone is on the same page.

Dr. Nye suggested having the Centers of Excellence do a presentation which would be informative.

Board members willing to work on the Ad Hoc Committee: David De Mers, Frank Louie, Jay Onasch, Gary King, Tom Kandris, Kathy Kossick, and Dr. Nye.

IV. Information Items

A. Dislocated Worker Report

Mr. William Walker provided requested information on a two-year Employer Recruitment report. Mr. Givans stated that his office has been working with

Siemens who have been attracting more suppliers. He is very happy that a new company has made an investment here.

Mr. De Mers stated that it is great bringing new companies in the Sacramento area when there is not a huge workforce but has there been any conversations about skills sets that a person would need to have to build to get these higher jobs?

- B. Employer Recruitment Activity Report: No additional report.
- C. Unemployment Update/Press Release from the Employment Development Department: Unemployment continues to track downward.
- D. Committee Updates
 - ✓ Youth Committee: No report.
 - ✓ Planning/Oversight Committee: No report.
 - ✓ Employer Outreach Committee: Mr. Wylie reported that the Committee is working on a regional business summit: Region Rising Summit. It is scheduled for the morning of Wednesday, May 15 and will be held at Bayside Campus. This facility will accommodate 150-1,500 participants. The speaker will be Patrick Schwerdtfeger, author of Anarchy Incorporated; he will be talking about the coming disruption of robotics and how it will affect our workforce. This is a great opportunity to showcase what workforce boards do regionally. The Committee is considering using some funds to create video presentations of what SETA does.
 - ✓ Board Development Committee: Ms. Lisa Clawson reported that the committee has been working to recruit additional members to our board and are recommending the addition of two people:
 - Christine Laster, Senior HR Manager, Siemens
 - Amanda Blackwood, CEO/President of the Sacramento Metropolitan Chamber of CommerceIt is expected these new members will join the board in September.

V. Other Reports

- 1. Chair: None.
- 2. Members of the Board: Ms. Kossick reminded board members to do their ethics training.
- 3. Counsel: No report.
- 4. Public Participation: None.

VI. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 9:11 a.m.

ITEM III-A - ACTION

APPROVAL TO TRANSFER WORKFORCE INNOVATION AND OPPORTUNITY ACT (WIOA) DISLOCATED WORKER FUNDS TO ADULT FUNDS, PROGRAM YEAR (PY) 2018-19, AND AUTHORIZE STAFF TO SUBMIT A REQUEST TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

BACKGROUND:

This item addresses the transfer of Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Dislocated Worker funds to Adult funds for Program Year (PY) 2018-19. WIOA, signed into law July 22, 2014, allows Local Workforce Development Boards (LWDBs), with approval from the Governor, to transfer up to and including 100 percent of the funds allocated for Adult and Dislocated Worker programs in order to maximize customer service and provide local boards with greater flexibility to respond to changes in their local labor markets and the demonstrated needs of each unique population. WIOA funds transfer limitations can be found in WIOA, Section 133(b)(4).

Each year, eligible dislocated workers are served under SETA's adult funding stream. By alleviating staff and service providers of the labor-intensive eligibility, data collection, accounting and reporting procedures required when serving customers under the dislocated worker funding stream, more effort can be focused on education, training, and job development services.

Consistent with the State of California, Employment Development Department's (EDD) Workforce Services Directive WSD15-23, Transfer of Funds – Adult/Dislocated Worker Programs, issued on March 29, 2016, staff is recommending that the Board authorize the transfer of up to 75 percent of SETA's total WIOA dislocated worker formula allocation to the adult program for PY 2018-19.

SETA's WIOA, Title I, Adult and Dislocated Worker formula allocations for PY 2018-19 are:

Adult -	\$3,627,927
Dislocated Worker -	<u>\$3,278,849</u>
	\$6,906,776

The amount of dislocated worker funds to be transferred to the adult allocation for PY 2018-19 will be up to \$2,459,137. Before effecting the transfer, SETA will obtain written approval from EDD, Workforce Services Division, which has been delegated authority to act on behalf of the Governor.

The Planning/Oversight Committee approved this action on August 15, 2018.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the transfer of up to 75 percent (\$2,459,137) in WIOA dislocated worker formula funds to the WIOA adult formula funding stream for PY 2018-19, and authorize staff to submit a request to the State of California, EDD.

ITEM III-B – ACTION/DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION ON THE ROLE OF THE SACRAMENTO WORKS BOARD

BACKGROUND

At the March 28, 2018, May 23, 2018, and July 28, 2018 Sacramento Works Board meetings, each Committee was asked to return with ideas and priorities for the role of the Board. This item provides an opportunity to hear from the Planning/Oversight Committee, the Employer Outreach Committee, and the Youth Committee on ideas and strategies identified to date, and specific actions as the Board moves forward with developing a vision and implementing strategies as a leader and broker of workforce issues. This item continues this discussion with the entire Board.

PRESENTER: Roy Kim

ITEM IV-A – INFORMATION
DISLOCATED WORKER REPORT

BACKGROUND:

The most current dislocated worker update is attached; staff will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: William Walker

Sacramento Employment and Training Agency

Dislocated Worker Information PY 2018/2019

The following is an update of information as of September 18, 2018 on the Worker Adjustment and Training Notification (WARN) notices and Non WARN notifications in Sacramento County.

	MONTH RECEIVED	COMPANY AND ADDRESS	WARN STATUS	AFFECTED WORKERS	SETA INTERVENTION
Unofficial	3/24/2018	Aaron Brothers 2345 Arden Way Sacramento, CA 95825	7/31/2018	20	7/31/2018
Official	4/18/2018	Sears Holdings 5900 Sunrise Mall Citrus Heights, CA 95610	7/8/2018	67	6/11/2018
Official	6/29/2018	Dream Center Education Holdings, LLC 2850 Gateway Oaks Dr. Ste.100 Sacramento, CA 95833	12/31/2018	125	Pending
Unofficial	8/6/2018	Golden West Packaging Package One 4225 Pell Dr Sacramento, CA 95820	9/30/2017	84	8/28/2018
Official	8/23/2018	Well Fargo 11000 White Rock Road Rancho Cordova, CA 95662	8/23 -11/21/2018	191	9/17-20/2018
			Total # of Affected Workers	487	

ITEM IV-B – INFORMATION

EMPLOYER RECRUITMENT ACTIVITY REPORT

BACKGROUND:

Staff at Sacramento Works Job Centers and internal Employer Services staff work with local employers to recruit qualified employees.

Mr. William Walker will provide an oral report on the most recent recruitments.

PRESENTER: William Walker

ITEM IV-C – INFORMATION

UNEMPLOYMENT UPDATE/PRESS RELEASE FROM THE EMPLOYMENT
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

BACKGROUND:

The unemployment rate for Sacramento County for the month of July was 3.9%.

Attached is a copy of a press release from the Employment Development Department breaking down the job losses and job creations for the regional area.

Staff will be available to answer questions.

PRESENTER: Roy Kim

**SACRAMENTO—ROSEVILLE—ARDEN-ARCADE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA)
(EL DORADO, PLACER, SACRAMENTO, AND YOLO COUNTIES)
Seasonal cutbacks in education led to month-over job decline**

The unemployment rate in the Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade MSA was 3.9 percent in July 2018, down from a revised 4.1 percent in June 2018, and below the year-ago estimate of 4.8 percent. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 4.4 percent for California and 4.1 percent for the nation during the same period. The unemployment rate was 3.6 percent in El Dorado County, 3.3 percent in Placer County, 4.0 percent in Sacramento County, and 4.1 percent in Yolo County.

Between June 2018 and July 2018, combined employment in the counties of El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo, decreased by 4,100 to total 996,600 jobs.

- Government (down 8,300 jobs) experienced a normal seasonal decline from June to July as schools reduced staff for the summer break. Local government (down 5,400 jobs) and state government (down 2,900 jobs) were responsible for the decline.
- Financial activities shed 500 jobs. Finance and insurance declined by 300 jobs. Real estate and rental and leasing dipped by 200 jobs.
- Meanwhile, professional and business services led month-over growth, adding 1,900 jobs. Administrative and support and waste services (up 2,200 jobs) and management of companies (up 200 jobs) were responsible for the gains and offset a 500-job loss in professional, scientific, and technical services.
- Trade, transportation, and utilities expanded by 1,300 jobs from June to July. Retail trade (up 800 jobs) accounted for 61.5 percent of the increase.

Between July 2017 and July 2018, total jobs in the region increased by 14,600, or 1.5 percent.

- Education and health services continued to lead year-over growth, adding 6,200 jobs. Health care and social assistance (up 5,200 jobs) was responsible for 83.9 percent of the expansion. Educational services experienced a gain of 1,000 jobs.
- Government gained 5,000 jobs since last July. State government added 2,700 jobs. Local government contributed 2,400 jobs over the year. Federal government was down 100 jobs.
- Trade, transportation, and utilities expanded by 3,700 jobs. Retail trade grew by 1,500 jobs. Transportation, warehousing, and utilities gained 1,300 jobs. Wholesale trade added 900 jobs.
- Only three major industries experienced job reductions from last July, led by other services (down 900 jobs), information (down 400 jobs), and professional and business services (down 100 jobs).

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

	Jul 17	May 18	Jun 18 Revised	Jul 18 Prelim	Percent Change	
					Month	Year
Civilian Labor Force (1)	1,093,200	1,071,500	1,080,500	1,091,800	1.0%	-0.1%
Civilian Employment	1,040,400	1,036,600	1,036,300	1,049,300	1.3%	0.9%
Civilian Unemployment	52,800	34,900	44,200	42,500	-3.8%	-19.5%
Civilian Unemployment Rate (CA Unemployment Rate)	4.8%	3.3%	4.1%	3.9%		
(U.S. Unemployment Rate)	5.1%	3.7%	4.5%	4.4%		
	4.6%	3.6%	4.2%	4.1%		
Total, All Industries (2)	982,000	995,200	1,000,700	996,600	-0.4%	1.5%
Total Farm	10,900	10,100	10,500	10,900	3.8%	0.0%
Total Nonfarm	971,100	985,100	990,200	985,700	-0.5%	1.5%
Total Private	739,300	742,200	745,100	748,900	0.5%	1.3%
Goods Producing	97,600	97,900	98,300	98,500	0.2%	0.9%
Mining, Logging, and Construction	61,800	61,600	62,000	61,900	-0.2%	0.2%
Mining and Logging	500	500	500	500	0.0%	0.0%
Construction	61,300	61,100	61,500	61,400	-0.2%	0.2%
Construction of Buildings	12,800	13,300	13,600	13,600	0.0%	6.3%
Specialty Trade Contractors	42,300	42,000	42,300	41,700	-1.4%	-1.4%
Building Foundation & Exterior Contractors	12,100	12,300	12,600	12,500	-0.8%	3.3%
Building Equipment Contractors	16,400	16,000	16,200	16,300	0.6%	-0.6%
Building Finishing Contractors	9,300	9,200	9,300	9,200	-1.1%	-1.1%
Manufacturing	35,800	36,300	36,300	36,600	0.8%	2.2%
Durable Goods	23,100	23,700	23,800	23,800	0.0%	3.0%
Computer & Electronic Product Manufacturing	4,900	5,000	5,100	5,100	0.0%	4.1%
Nondurable Goods	12,700	12,600	12,500	12,800	2.4%	0.8%
Food Manufacturing	4,400	4,200	4,100	4,400	7.3%	0.0%
Service Providing	873,500	887,200	891,900	887,200	-0.5%	1.6%
Private Service Providing	641,700	644,300	646,800	650,400	0.6%	1.4%
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	153,500	155,400	155,900	157,200	0.8%	2.4%
Wholesale Trade	26,900	27,400	27,600	27,800	0.7%	3.3%
Merchant Wholesalers, Durable Goods	14,500	14,600	14,600	14,700	0.7%	1.4%
Merchant Wholesalers, Nondurable Goods	9,800	9,800	10,000	10,300	3.0%	5.1%
Retail Trade	101,000	101,600	101,700	102,500	0.8%	1.5%
Motor Vehicle & Parts Dealer	14,800	14,500	14,500	14,600	0.7%	-1.4%
Building Material & Garden Equipment Stores	8,600	8,700	8,700	8,700	0.0%	1.2%
Grocery Stores	19,400	19,100	19,100	19,200	0.5%	-1.0%
Health & Personal Care Stores	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900	0.0%	0.0%
Clothing & Clothing Accessories Stores	8,100	7,900	8,100	8,200	1.2%	1.2%
Sporting Goods, Hobby, Book & Music Stores	3,900	4,000	3,900	3,800	-2.6%	-2.6%
General Merchandise Stores	19,100	20,300	20,200	20,500	1.5%	7.3%
Transportation, Warehousing & Utilities	25,600	26,400	26,600	26,900	1.1%	5.1%
Information	12,500	12,000	12,000	12,100	0.8%	-3.2%
Publishing Industries (except Internet)	2,400	2,400	2,500	2,500	0.0%	4.2%
Telecommunications	3,900	3,900	3,800	3,900	2.6%	0.0%
Financial Activities	52,800	52,900	53,300	52,800	-0.9%	0.0%
Finance & Insurance	37,300	37,400	37,600	37,300	-0.8%	0.0%
Credit Intermediation & Related Activities	11,700	11,500	11,600	11,400	-1.7%	-2.6%
Depository Credit Intermediation	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500	0.0%	0.0%
Nondepository Credit Intermediation	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,700	-3.6%	-3.6%
Insurance Carriers & Related	21,500	21,800	21,900	21,800	-0.5%	1.4%
Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	15,500	15,500	15,700	15,500	-1.3%	0.0%
Real Estate	11,900	12,000	12,100	12,000	-0.8%	0.8%
Professional & Business Services	132,400	129,800	130,400	132,300	1.5%	-0.1%
Professional, Scientific & Technical Services	55,400	56,100	56,800	56,300	-0.9%	1.6%
Architectural, Engineering & Related Services	10,200	10,200	10,400	10,500	1.0%	2.9%
Management of Companies & Enterprises	12,800	13,000	13,100	13,300	1.5%	3.9%
Administrative & Support & Waste Services	64,200	60,700	60,500	62,700	3.6%	-2.3%
Administrative & Support Services	61,300	57,400	57,200	59,300	3.7%	-3.3%
Employment Services	25,600	24,100	24,000	24,400	1.7%	-4.7%

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

	Jul 17	May 18	Jun 18 Revised	Jul 18 Prelim	Percent Change	
					Month	Year
Services to Buildings & Dwellings	13,400	13,300	13,500	13,600	0.7%	1.5%
Educational & Health Services	151,500	158,300	157,300	157,700	0.3%	4.1%
Education Services	11,200	13,200	12,700	12,200	-3.9%	8.9%
Health Care & Social Assistance	140,300	145,100	144,600	145,500	0.6%	3.7%
Ambulatory Health Care Services	49,100	50,400	50,000	50,200	0.4%	2.2%
Hospitals	23,800	24,300	24,600	24,600	0.0%	3.4%
Nursing & Residential Care Facilities	17,300	17,500	17,600	17,600	0.0%	1.7%
Leisure & Hospitality	106,200	103,300	105,800	106,400	0.6%	0.2%
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	17,600	15,300	15,800	16,100	1.9%	-8.5%
Accommodation & Food Services	88,600	88,000	90,000	90,300	0.3%	1.9%
Accommodation	9,300	9,300	9,700	9,700	0.0%	4.3%
Food Services & Drinking Places	79,300	78,700	80,300	80,600	0.4%	1.6%
Restaurants	74,900	74,300	75,800	76,200	0.5%	1.7%
Full-Service Restaurants	35,500	35,500	36,300	36,200	-0.3%	2.0%
Limited-Service Eating Places	39,400	38,800	39,500	40,000	1.3%	1.5%
Other Services	32,800	32,600	32,100	31,900	-0.6%	-2.7%
Repair & Maintenance	10,200	10,100	10,100	10,100	0.0%	-1.0%
Government	231,800	242,900	245,100	236,800	-3.4%	2.2%
Federal Government	14,200	14,000	14,100	14,100	0.0%	-0.7%
Department of Defense	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	0.0%	0.0%
State & Local Government	217,600	228,900	231,000	222,700	-3.6%	2.3%
State Government	117,400	121,900	123,000	120,100	-2.4%	2.3%
State Government Education	28,900	32,600	33,300	30,400	-8.7%	5.2%
State Government Excluding Education	88,500	89,300	89,700	89,700	0.0%	1.4%
Local Government	100,200	107,000	108,000	102,600	-5.0%	2.4%
Local Government Education	52,200	60,100	59,700	54,000	-9.5%	3.4%
Local Government Excluding Education	48,000	46,900	48,300	48,600	0.6%	1.3%
County	19,200	19,200	19,300	19,300	0.0%	0.5%
City	10,800	10,200	10,700	10,900	1.9%	0.9%
Special Districts plus Indian Tribes	18,000	17,500	18,200	18,400	1.1%	2.2%

Notes:

(1) Civilian labor force data are by place of residence; include self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, & workers on strike. Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.

(2) Industry employment is by place of work; excludes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, & workers on strike. Data may not add due to rounding.


These data are produced by the Labor Market Information Division of the California Employment Development Department (EDD). Questions should be directed to: Cara Welch 916-865-2466 or Elizabeth Bosley 530-741-5191

These data, as well as other labor market data, are available via the Internet at <http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov>. If you need assistance, please call (916) 262-2162.

#####

IMMEDIATE RELEASE
 SACRAMENTO--ROSEVILLE--ARDEN-ARCADE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (MSA)
 (El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Yolo Counties)

The unemployment rate in the Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade MSA was 3.9 percent in July 2018, down from a revised 4.1 percent in June 2018, and below the year-ago estimate of 4.8 percent. This compares with an unadjusted unemployment rate of 4.4 percent for California and 4.1 percent for the nation during the same period. The unemployment rate was 3.6 percent in El Dorado County, 3.3 percent in Placer County, 4.0 percent in Sacramento County, and 4.1 percent in Yolo County.



Industry	Jun-2018	Jul-2018	Change		Jul-2017	Jul-2018	Change
	Revised	Prelim			Prelim		

Total, All Industries	1,000,700	996,600	(4,100)		982,000	996,600	14,600
Total Farm	10,500	10,900	400		10,900	10,900	0
Total Nonfarm	990,200	985,700	(4,500)		971,100	985,700	14,600
Mining, Logging, and Construction	62,000	61,900	(100)		61,800	61,900	100
Mining and Logging	500	500	0		500	500	0
Construction	61,500	61,400	(100)		61,300	61,400	100
Manufacturing	36,300	36,600	300		35,800	36,600	800
Trade, Transportation & Utilities	155,900	157,200	1,300		153,500	157,200	3,700
Information	12,000	12,100	100		12,500	12,100	(400)
Financial Activities	53,300	52,800	(500)		52,800	52,800	0
Professional & Business Services	130,400	132,300	1,900		132,400	132,300	(100)
Educational & Health Services	157,300	157,700	400		151,500	157,700	6,200
Leisure & Hospitality	105,800	106,400	600		106,200	106,400	200
Other Services	32,100	31,900	(200)		32,800	31,900	(900)
Government	245,100	236,800	(8,300)		231,800	236,800	5,000

Notes: Data not adjusted for seasonality. Data may not add due to rounding
 Labor force data are revised month to month
 Additional data are available online at www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
Sacramento County	708,500	679,900	28,600	4.0%	1.000000	1.000000
Arden Arcade CDP	45,700	43,100	2,600	5.6%	0.063399	0.089632
Carmichael CDP	30,900	29,600	1,300	4.3%	0.043480	0.046822
Citrus Heights city	44,000	42,300	1,700	3.9%	N/A	N/A
Elk Grove CDP	82,600	79,700	2,900	3.5%	N/A	N/A
Fair Oaks CDP	15,900	15,300	700	4.1%	0.022493	0.022749
Florin CDP	20,400	19,100	1,300	6.6%	0.028053	0.046876
Folsom city	37,200	36,100	1,100	3.0%	N/A	N/A
Foothill Farms CDP	15,700	15,100	600	3.9%	0.022212	0.021682
Galt city	11,300	10,800	500	4.3%	N/A	N/A
Gold River CDP	3,500	3,400	100	3.1%	0.005009	0.003769
Isleton city	400	300	0	5.1%	0.000493	0.000629
La Riviera CDP	5,700	5,500	200	3.1%	0.008086	0.006052
North Highlands CDP	19,000	18,200	700	3.9%	0.026837	0.026194
Orangevale CDP	17,400	16,800	700	3.8%	0.024666	0.023316
Rancho Cordova City	34,700	33,300	1,400	4.1%	N/A	N/A
Rancho Murieta CDP	2,400	2,400	0	0.9%	0.003478	0.000770
Rio Linda CDP	6,300	6,100	200	3.1%	0.008905	0.006863
Rosemont CDP	12,100	11,600	500	4.2%	0.017071	0.017643
Sacramento city	234,000	224,100	9,900	4.2%	N/A	N/A
Vineyard CDP	12,800	12,400	400	3.3%	0.018253	0.014806
Walnut Grove CDP	700	600	100	13.3%	0.000865	0.003161
Wilton CDP	2,300	2,300	0	1.1%	0.003409	0.000919

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.
- 3) N/A = Estimate created by Bureau of Labor Statistics

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios	
					Emp	Unemp

and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.


Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.


Recent Job Ads

Sacramento Roseville Arden Arcade MSA - July 2018

Not Seasonally Adjusted



Cities with Most Job Ads



Occupations with Most Job Ads

- Registered Nurses - 846
- Heavy and Tractor-Trailer Truck Drivers - 612
- Retail Salespersons - 602
- Customer Service Representatives - 579
- First-Line Supervisors of Retail Sales Workers - 530
- First-Line Supervisors of Office and Administrative Support Workers - 482
- Software Developers, Applications - 460
- Computer User Support Specialists - 425
- First-Line Supervisors of Food Preparation and Serving Workers - 358
- Maintenance and Repair Workers, General - 355

Note: The data provided does not suggest that the occupations of the unemployed directly align with the occupations of the advertised vacancies.
 Sources: Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division; Help Wanted Online from The Conference Board and WANTED Technologies

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
El Dorado County	90,800	87,500	3,300	3.6%	1.000000	1.000000
Cameron Park CDP	9,700	9,400	400	3.8%	0.107216	0.110630
Diamond Springs CDP	5,400	5,200	200	4.2%	0.059634	0.069250
El Dorado Hills CDP	22,000	21,300	600	2.7%	0.244105	0.182340
Georgetown CDP	900	900	100	5.6%	0.009833	0.015280
Placerville city	4,700	4,500	200	4.1%	0.051112	0.057550
Pollock Pines CDP	3,200	3,100	100	2.9%	0.035967	0.028100
Shingle Springs CDP	2,400	2,300	100	2.3%	0.026333	0.016220
South Lake Tahoe city	11,800	11,300	500	4.2%	0.129759	0.150450

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
Placer County	183,900	177,800	6,100	3.3%	1.000000	1.000000
Auburn city	6,600	6,400	200	3.6%	0.035818	0.038855
Colfax city	1,200	1,100	100	7.7%	0.005985	0.014656
Dollar Point CDP	600	600	0	1.2%	0.003593	0.001272
Foresthill CDP	600	600	0	5.1%	0.003221	0.005007
Granite Bay CDP	11,000	10,600	300	3.1%	0.059880	0.055556
Kings Beach CDP	2,300	2,200	100	3.2%	0.012451	0.012081
Lincoln city	19,000	18,400	700	3.5%	N/A	N/A
Loomis town	3,100	3,000	100	3.6%	0.016847	0.018321
Meadow Vista CDP	1,500	1,500	0	2.1%	0.008317	0.005087
North Auburn CDP	5,500	5,300	200	4.1%	0.029937	0.036958
Rocklin city	31,700	30,600	1,000	3.3%	N/A	N/A
Roseville city	66,900	64,700	2,100	3.2%	N/A	N/A
Sunnyside Tahoe City CDP	800	700	0	4.8%	0.004146	0.006040
Tahoe Vista CDP	900	900	0	3.5%	0.004825	0.005007

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.
- 3) N/A = Estimate created by Bureau of Labor Statistics

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

Area Name	Labor Force	Employ- ment	Unemployment Number	Rate	Census Ratios	
					Emp	Unemp

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

REPORT 400 C
Monthly Labor Force Data for Counties
July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

COUNTY	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,469,500	18,613,000	856,500	4.4%
ALAMEDA	8	854,200	827,200	27,000	3.2%
ALPINE	42	470	440	30	5.9%
AMADOR	19	14,780	14,210	570	3.9%
BUTTE	37	103,300	98,100	5,200	5.1%
CALAVERAS	19	21,780	20,920	860	3.9%
COLUSA	57	11,140	9,980	1,170	10.5%
CONTRA COSTA	10	567,700	548,900	18,800	3.3%
DEL NORTE	39	9,960	9,420	540	5.5%
EL DORADO	14	90,800	87,500	3,300	3.6%
FRESNO	52	455,200	422,500	32,700	7.2%
GLENN	50	12,700	11,800	890	7.0%
HUMBOLDT	19	61,920	59,530	2,390	3.9%
IMPERIAL	58	71,100	57,400	13,700	19.3%
INYO	16	8,970	8,630	340	3.7%
KERN	55	384,000	352,500	31,500	8.2%
KINGS	53	58,200	53,900	4,300	7.5%
LAKE	36	29,520	28,060	1,460	5.0%
LASSEN	30	9,940	9,490	450	4.6%
LOS ANGELES	37	5,173,800	4,911,900	261,900	5.1%
MADERA	50	61,000	56,700	4,300	7.0%
MARIN	3	142,900	139,300	3,600	2.5%
MARIPOSA	27	8,350	7,980	370	4.4%
MENDOCINO	16	39,910	38,420	1,480	3.7%
MERCED	54	115,900	106,600	9,300	8.1%
MODOC	44	3,320	3,120	200	6.0%
MONO	12	9,240	8,910	330	3.5%
MONTEREY	33	231,400	220,400	11,000	4.8%
NAPA	5	74,700	72,500	2,200	2.9%
NEVADA	14	48,350	46,610	1,740	3.6%
ORANGE	8	1,618,200	1,567,000	51,300	3.2%
PLACER	10	183,900	177,800	6,100	3.3%
PLUMAS	42	8,290	7,790	490	5.9%
RIVERSIDE	31	1,082,600	1,031,500	51,100	4.7%
SACRAMENTO	23	708,500	679,900	28,600	4.0%
SAN BENITO	34	30,600	29,100	1,500	4.9%
SAN BERNARDINO	27	960,500	918,200	42,300	4.4%
SAN DIEGO	12	1,593,000	1,536,700	56,300	3.5%
SAN FRANCISCO	2	574,400	560,400	14,000	2.4%
SAN JOAQUIN	44	326,300	306,700	19,600	6.0%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	7	142,500	138,100	4,500	3.1%
SAN MATEO	1	457,200	446,600	10,600	2.3%
SANTA BARBARA	18	217,300	209,100	8,200	3.8%
SANTA CLARA	4	1,062,800	1,034,000	28,800	2.7%
SANTA CRUZ	26	147,300	141,100	6,200	4.2%
SHASTA	34	74,700	71,000	3,700	4.9%
SIERRA	39	1,330	1,260	70	5.5%
SISKIYOU	41	18,650	17,570	1,070	5.7%
SOLANO	24	208,400	199,900	8,500	4.1%
SONOMA	5	264,500	256,900	7,500	2.9%
STANISLAUS	48	246,300	229,800	16,500	6.7%
SUTTER	48	46,700	43,500	3,100	6.7%
TEHAMA	46	25,530	23,980	1,550	6.1%
TRINITY	31	5,180	4,940	240	4.7%
TULARE	56	208,400	189,000	19,400	9.3%
TUOLUMNE	27	21,810	20,840	970	4.4%
VENTURA	19	422,600	406,200	16,400	3.9%
YOLO	24	108,700	104,200	4,500	4.1%
YUBA	47	28,700	26,800	1,900	6.5%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

**Monthly Labor Force Data for Cities and Census Designated Places (CDP)
 July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted**

Area Name	Labor Force	Employment	Unemployment Number	Unemployment Rate	Census Ratios Emp	Census Ratios Unemp
Yolo County	108,700	104,200	4,500	4.1%	1.000000	1.000000
Davis city	36,000	34,900	1,100	3.1%	N/A	N/A
Esparto CDP	1,700	1,700	100	4.8%	0.015951	0.018750
West Sacramento city	26,000	24,800	1,200	4.5%	N/A	N/A
Winters city	3,800	3,600	200	5.6%	0.034407	0.047140
Woodland city	30,300	29,000	1,300	4.4%	N/A	N/A

CDP is "Census Designated Place" - a recognized community that was unincorporated at the time of the 2011-2015 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Notes:

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. All unemployment rates shown are calculated on unrounded data.
- 2) These data are not seasonally adjusted.
- 3) N/A = Estimate created by Bureau of Labor Statistics

Methodology:

Monthly city labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city at the time of the 2009-2013 American Community Survey. Ratios for cities were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment, unemployment, and population and Census population from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDPs, ratios were calculated from published census data.

Monthly CDP's labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the relative employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each CDP at the time of the 2011-2015 ACS survey. Ratios for CDPs' were developed from special tabulations based on ACS employment and unemployment from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment since the 2009-2013/2011-2015 American Community Survey are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.

REPORT 400 M
Monthly Labor Force Data for California
Counties and Metropolitan Areas
July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

Area	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,469,500	18,613,000	856,500	4.4%
ANAHEIM-SANTA ANA-IRVINE MD (Orange Co.)	10	1,618,200	1,567,000	51,300	3.2%
BAKERSFIELD MSA (Kern Co.)	61	384,000	352,500	31,500	8.2%
CHICO MSA (Butte Co.)	42	103,300	98,100	5,200	5.1%
EL CENTRO MSA (Imperial Co.)	64	71,100	57,400	13,700	19.3%
FRESNO MSA (Fresno Co.)	58	455,200	422,500	32,700	7.2%
HANFORD CORCORAN MSA (Kings Co.)	59	58,200	53,900	4,300	7.5%
LOS ANGELES LONG BEACH GLENDALE MD (Los Angeles Co.)	42	5,173,800	4,911,900	261,900	5.1%
MADERA MSA (Madera Co.)	56	61,000	56,700	4,300	7.0%
MERCED MSA (Merced Co.)	60	115,900	106,600	9,300	8.1%
MODESTO MSA (Stanislaus Co.)	54	246,300	229,800	16,500	6.7%
NAPA MSA (Napa Co.)	7	74,700	72,500	2,200	2.9%
OAKLAND HAYWARD BERKELEY MD	10	1,421,900	1,376,100	45,700	3.2%
Alameda Co.	10	854,200	827,200	27,000	3.2%
Contra Costa Co.	13	567,700	548,900	18,800	3.3%
OXNARD THOUSAND OAKS VENTURA MSA (Ventura Co.)	22	422,600	406,200	16,400	3.9%
REDDING MSA (Shasta Co.)	39	74,700	71,000	3,700	4.9%
RIVERSIDE SAN BERNARDINO ONTARIO MSA	34	2,043,100	1,949,600	93,500	4.6%
Riverside Co.	36	1,082,600	1,031,500	51,100	4.7%
San Bernardino Co.	31	960,500	918,200	42,300	4.4%
SACRAMENTO--ROSEVILLE--ARDEN-ARCADE MSA	22	1,091,800	1,049,300	42,500	3.9%
El Dorado Co.	17	90,800	87,500	3,300	3.6%
Placer Co.	13	183,900	177,800	6,100	3.3%
Sacramento Co.	27	708,500	679,900	28,600	4.0%
Yolo Co.	28	108,700	104,200	4,500	4.1%
SALINAS MSA (Monterey Co.)	38	231,400	220,400	11,000	4.8%
SAN DIEGO CARLSBAD MSA (San Diego Co.)	15	1,593,000	1,536,700	56,300	3.5%
SAN FRANCISCO REDWOOD CITY SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO MD	2	1,031,700	1,007,000	24,600	2.4%
San Francisco Co.	2	574,400	560,400	14,000	2.4%
San Mateo Co.	1	457,200	446,600	10,600	2.3%
SAN JOSE SUNNYVALE SANTA CLARA MSA	6	1,093,400	1,063,100	30,300	2.8%
San Benito Co.	39	30,600	29,100	1,500	4.9%
Santa Clara Co.	5	1,062,800	1,034,000	28,800	2.7%
SAN LUIS OBISPO PASO ROBLES ARROYO GRANDE MSA (San Luis Obispo Co.)	9	142,500	138,100	4,500	3.1%
SAN RAFAEL MD (Marin Co.)	4	142,900	139,300	3,600	2.5%
SANTA CRUZ WATSONVILLE MSA (Santa Cruz Co.)	30	147,300	141,100	6,200	4.2%
SANTA MARIA SANTA BARBARA MSA (Santa Barbara Co.)	21	217,300	209,100	8,200	3.8%
SANTA ROSA MSA (Sonoma Co.)	7	264,500	256,900	7,500	2.9%
STOCKTON LODI MSA (San Joaquin Co.)	49	326,300	306,700	19,600	6.0%
VALLEJO FAIRFIELD MSA (Solano Co.)	28	208,400	199,900	8,500	4.1%
VISALIA PORTERVILLE MSA (Tulare Co.)	62	208,400	189,000	19,400	9.3%
YUBA CITY MSA	53	75,300	70,300	5,000	6.6%
Sutter Co.	54	46,700	43,500	3,100	6.7%
Yuba Co.	52	28,700	26,800	1,900	6.5%
Alpine Co.	47	470	440	30	5.9%
Amador Co.	22	14,780	14,210	570	3.9%
Calaveras Co.	22	21,780	20,920	860	3.9%
Colusa Co.	63	11,140	9,980	1,170	10.5%
Del Norte Co.	44	9,960	9,420	540	5.5%
Glenn Co.	56	12,700	11,800	890	7.0%
Humboldt Co.	22	61,920	59,530	2,390	3.9%
Inyo Co.	19	8,970	8,630	340	3.7%
Lake Co.	41	29,520	28,060	1,460	5.0%
Lassen Co.	34	9,940	9,490	450	4.6%
Mariposa Co.	31	8,350	7,980	370	4.4%
Mendocino Co.	19	39,910	38,420	1,480	3.7%
Modoc Co.	49	3,320	3,120	200	6.0%
Mono Co.	15	9,240	8,910	330	3.5%
Nevada Co.	17	48,350	46,610	1,740	3.6%
Plumas Co.	47	8,290	7,790	490	5.9%
Sierra Co.	44	1,330	1,260	70	5.5%
Siskiyou Co.	46	18,650	17,570	1,070	5.7%
Tehama Co.	51	25,530	23,980	1,550	6.1%
Trinity Co.	36	5,180	4,940	240	4.7%
Tuolumne Co.	31	21,810	20,840	970	4.4%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

REPORT 400 R
Monthly Labor Force Data for Regional Planning Units
July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

REGION	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,469,500	18,613,000	856,500	4.4%
COASTAL REGION	7	738,500	708,700	29,900	4.0%
MONTEREY	---	231,400	220,400	11,000	4.8%
SAN LUIS OBISPO	---	142,500	138,100	4,500	3.1%
SANTA BARBARA	---	217,300	209,100	8,200	3.8%
SANTA CRUZ	---	147,300	141,100	6,200	4.2%
MIDDLE SIERRA	8	66,700	63,900	2,800	4.1%
AMADOR	---	14,780	14,210	570	3.9%
CALAVERAS	---	21,780	20,920	860	3.9%
MARIPOSA	---	8,350	7,980	370	4.4%
TUOLUMNE	---	21,810	20,840	970	4.4%
HUMBOLDT	5	61,900	59,500	2,400	3.9%
HUMBOLDT	---	61,920	59,530	2,390	3.9%
NORTH STATE	12	308,600	293,300	15,300	5.0%
BUTTE	---	103,300	98,100	5,200	5.1%
DEL NORTE	---	9,960	9,420	540	5.5%
LASSEN	---	9,940	9,490	450	4.6%
MODOC	---	3,320	3,120	200	6.0%
NEVADA	---	48,350	46,610	1,740	3.6%
PLUMAS	---	8,290	7,790	490	5.9%
SHASTA	---	74,700	71,000	3,700	4.9%
SIERRA	---	1,330	1,260	70	5.5%
SISKIYOU	---	18,650	17,570	1,070	5.7%
TEHAMA	---	25,530	23,980	1,550	6.1%
TRINITY	---	5,180	4,940	240	4.7%
CAPITOL REGION	9	1,191,400	1,141,900	49,500	4.2%
ALPINE	---	470	440	30	5.9%
COLUSA	---	11,140	9,980	1,170	10.5%
EL DORADO	---	90,800	87,500	3,300	3.6%
GLENN	---	12,700	11,800	890	7.0%
PLACER	---	183,900	177,800	6,100	3.3%
SACRAMENTO	---	708,500	679,900	28,600	4.0%
SUTTER	---	46,700	43,500	3,100	6.7%
YOLO	---	108,700	104,200	4,500	4.1%
YUBA	---	28,700	26,800	1,900	6.5%
EAST BAY	3	1,421,900	1,376,100	45,700	3.2%
ALAMEDA	---	854,200	827,200	27,000	3.2%
CONTRA COSTA	---	567,700	548,900	18,800	3.3%
NORTH BAY	4	759,900	735,100	24,800	3.3%
LAKE	---	29,520	28,060	1,460	5.0%
MARIN	---	142,900	139,300	3,600	2.5%
MENDOCINO	---	39,910	38,420	1,480	3.7%
NAPA	---	74,700	72,500	2,200	2.9%
SOLANO	---	208,400	199,900	8,500	4.1%
SONOMA	---	264,500	256,900	7,500	2.9%
BAY-PENINSULA	1	2,125,100	2,070,200	54,900	2.6%
SAN BENITO	---	30,600	29,100	1,500	4.9%
SAN FRANCISCO	---	574,400	560,400	14,000	2.4%
SAN MATEO	---	457,200	446,600	10,600	2.3%
SANTA CLARA	---	1,062,800	1,034,000	28,800	2.7%
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AND ASSOCIATED COUNTIES	14	1,873,600	1,735,300	138,300	7.4%
FRESNO	---	455,200	422,500	32,700	7.2%
INYO	---	8,970	8,630	340	3.7%
KERN	---	384,000	352,500	31,500	8.2%
KINGS	---	58,200	53,900	4,300	7.5%
MADERA	---	61,000	56,700	4,300	7.0%
MERCED	---	115,900	106,600	9,300	8.1%
MONO	---	9,240	8,910	330	3.5%
SAN JOAQUIN	---	326,300	306,700	19,600	6.0%
STANISLAUS	---	246,300	229,800	16,500	6.7%
TULARE	---	208,400	189,000	19,400	9.3%
SOUTHERN BORDER	10	1,664,100	1,594,100	70,000	4.2%
IMPERIAL	---	71,100	57,400	13,700	19.3%
SAN DIEGO	---	1,593,000	1,536,700	56,300	3.5%
LOS ANGELES BASIN	13	5,173,800	4,911,900	261,900	5.1%
LOS ANGELES	---	5,173,800	4,911,900	261,900	5.1%
ORANGE	2	1,618,200	1,567,000	51,300	3.2%
ORANGE	---	1,618,200	1,567,000	51,300	3.2%
INLAND EMPIRE	11	2,043,100	1,949,600	93,500	4.6%
RIVERSIDE	---	1,082,600	1,031,500	51,100	4.7%
SAN BERNARDINO	---	960,500	918,200	42,300	4.4%
VENTURA	6	422,600	406,200	16,400	3.9%
VENTURA	---	422,600	406,200	16,400	3.9%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

REPORT 400 W
Monthly Labor Force Data for Local Workforce Development Areas
July 2018 - Preliminary
 Data Not Seasonally Adjusted

REGION	RANK BY RATE	LABOR FORCE	EMPLOYMENT	UNEMPLOYMENT	RATE
STATE TOTAL	---	19,469,500	18,613,000	856,500	4.4%
ALAMEDA COUNTY Alameda County, except Oakland City	5	638,700	619,600	19,100	3.0%
OAKLAND CITY Oakland City	14	215,500	207,600	7,800	3.6%
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY Contra Costa County, except Richmond City	10	513,600	496,900	16,700	3.3%
RICHMOND CITY Richmond City	17	54,100	52,100	2,000	3.8%
LOS ANGELES COUNTY Los Angeles County, except Los Angeles City, Verdugo Consortium, Foothill Consortium, South Bay Consortium, Southeast Los Angeles County Consortium, and Pacific Gateway Workforce Investment Network	35	1,895,600	1,797,900	97,700	5.2%
LOS ANGELES CITY Los Angeles City	34	2,089,100	1,982,200	106,900	5.1%
VERDUGO CONSORTIUM Burbank, Glendale, and La Cañada Flintridge Cities	33	174,600	165,900	8,800	5.0%
FOOTHILL CONSORTIUM Arcadia, Duarte, Monrovia, Pasadena, Sierra Madre, and South Pasadena Cities	25	163,600	156,700	6,900	4.2%
SOUTH BAY CONSORTIUM Carson, El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Inglewood, Lawndale, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, Lomita, and Torrance Cities	27	370,600	353,300	17,300	4.7%
SELACO (SOUTHEAST LOS ANGELES COUNTY CONSORTIUM) Artesia, Bellflower, Cerritos, Downey, Hawaiian Gardens, Lakewood, and Norwalk Cities	31	231,000	219,600	11,400	4.9%
PACIFIC GATEWAY WORKFORCE INVESTMENT NETWORK Long Beach and Signal Hill Cities	36	249,400	236,400	13,000	5.2%
ORANGE COUNTY Orange County, except Anaheim and Santa Ana Cities	8	1,287,500	1,247,000	40,400	3.1%
ANAHEIM CITY Anaheim City	11	171,600	165,900	5,700	3.3%
SANTA ANA CITY Santa Ana City	9	159,200	154,100	5,100	3.2%
SAN JOSE - SILICON VALLEY Santa Clara County, except Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale Cities	3	725,400	704,800	20,600	2.8%
NOVA (NORTH VALLEY CONSORTIUM) Cupertino, Los Altos, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale Cities; San Mateo County	1	794,600	775,800	18,800	2.4%
GOLDEN SIERRA CONSORTIUM Alpine, El Dorado, and Placer Counties	12	275,100	265,700	9,400	3.4%
KERN, INYO, MONO CONSORTIUM Kern, Inyo, and Mono Counties	43	402,200	370,100	32,200	8.0%
MOTHER LODE CONSORTIUM Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, and Tuolumne Counties	23	66,700	63,900	2,800	4.1%
NORTEC (NORTHERN RURAL TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT CONSORTIUM) Butte, Del Norte, Lassen, Nevada, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, and Trinity Counties	32	308,600	293,300	15,300	5.0%
NCCC (NORTH CENTRAL COUNTIES CONSORTIUM) Colusa, Glenn, Sutter, and Yuba Counties	40	99,200	92,100	7,100	7.1%
WORKFORCE ALLIANCE OF THE NORTH BAY (NORTH BAY CONSORTIUM) Napa, Lake, Marin and Mendocino Counties	6	287,000	278,300	8,700	3.0%
FRESNO COUNTY Fresno County	41	455,200	422,500	32,700	7.2%
HUMBOLDT COUNTY Humboldt County	18	61,920	59,530	2,390	3.9%
IMPERIAL COUNTY Imperial County	46	71,100	57,400	13,700	19.3%
KINGS COUNTY Kings County	42	58,200	53,900	4,300	7.5%
MADERA COUNTY Madera County	39	61,000	56,700	4,300	7.0%
MERCED COUNTY Merced County	44	115,900	106,600	9,300	8.1%
MONTEREY COUNTY Monterey County	29	231,400	220,400	11,000	4.8%
RIVERSIDE COUNTY Riverside County	28	1,082,600	1,031,500	51,100	4.7%
SACRAMENTO CITY/COUNTY Sacramento County	20	708,500	679,900	28,600	4.0%
SAN BENITO COUNTY San Benito County	30	30,600	29,100	1,500	4.9%
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	26	960,500	918,200	42,300	4.4%

San Bernardino County					
SAN DIEGO CITY/COUNTY San Diego County	13	1,593,000	1,536,700	56,300	3.5%
SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY San Francisco County	2	574,400	560,400	14,000	2.4%
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY San Joaquin County	37	326,300	306,700	19,600	6.0%
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY San Luis Obispo County	7	142,500	138,100	4,500	3.1%
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY Santa Barbara County	16	217,300	209,100	8,200	3.8%
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY Santa Cruz County	24	147,300	141,100	6,200	4.2%
SOLANO COUNTY Solano County	21	208,400	199,900	8,500	4.1%
SONOMA COUNTY Sonoma County	4	264,500	256,900	7,500	2.9%
STANISLAUS COUNTY Stanislaus County	38	246,300	229,800	16,500	6.7%
TULARE COUNTY Tulare County	45	208,400	189,000	19,400	9.3%
VENTURA COUNTY Ventura County	19	422,600	406,200	16,400	3.9%
YOLO COUNTY Yolo County	22	108,700	104,200	4,500	4.1%

Notes

- 1) Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas now reflect the March 2017 benchmark and Census 2010 population controls at the state level.

ITEM IV-D - INFORMATION

COMMITTEE UPDATES

BACKGROUND:

This item provides an opportunity for a report from the following committees:

- Youth Committee – David Gordon
- Planning/Oversight Committee – Dr. Jamey Nye
- Employer Outreach Committee – Rick Wylie
- Board Development Committee – Lisa Clawson

ITEM V - OTHER REPORTS

1. CHAIR'S REPORT

The Chair of the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board, on a regular basis, receives numerous items of information concerning employment and training legislation, current programs, agency activities, and miscellaneous articles.

The important information from the material received and meetings attended will be shared with the entire Board and the method proposed by the Chair is to give a verbal report at each regular meeting. It will also allow time for the Board to provide input on items that may require future action.

2. MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

This item provides the opportunity for Workforce Development Board members to raise any items for consideration not covered under the formal agenda. It also provides the opportunity for Board members to request staff to research or follow up on specific requests or to ask that certain items be placed on the next agenda.

3. COUNSEL REPORT:

The Sacramento Works, Inc. Legal Counsel is the firm of Phillip M. Cunningham, Attorney at Law. This item provides the opportunity for Legal Counsel to provide the Sacramento Works, Inc. Board with an oral or written report on legal activities

4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

Participation of the general public at Sacramento Works, Inc. Board meetings is encouraged. The Sacramento Works, Inc. Board has decided to incorporate participants of the audience as part of its agenda for all meetings. Members of the audience are asked to address their requests to the Chair, if they wish to speak.